




## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification 5 :  G01S 5/16, G05D 1/03		A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 94/28436  (43) International Publication Date: 8 December 1994 (08.12.94)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/IT94/00067		(81) Designated States: CA, JP, US, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE).	
(22) International Filing Date: 24 May 1994 (24.05.94)		Published <i>With international search report. Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i>	
(30) Priority Data: PV93A000005 25 May 1993 (25.05.93) IT			
(71)/(72) Applicant and Inventor: MILANI, Carlo [IT/IT]; Via Case Nuove, 30, I-27010 Vistarino (IT).			
(72) Inventor; and			
(75) Inventor/Applicant (for US only): MORETTO, Gianantonio [IT/IT]; Via Rugabella, 9, I-22060 Novedrate (IT).			
(74) Agents: ADORNO, Silvano et al.; Società Italiana Brevetti, Via Carducci, 8, I-20123 Milano (IT).			

(54) Title: METHOD FOR DETERMINING THE PRECISE POSITION OF A MOBILE VEHICLE MOVING IN AN OPEN SPACE AND APPARATUS EMPLOYING SAID METHOD FOR THE VEHICLE REMOTE CONTROL



## (57) Abstract

A method for determining the position of a mobile vehicle provided with a receiver, through a triangulation performed by said receiver on the base of the angular data obtained by processing the modulated directive electromagnetic signals emitted by at least two fixed rotating transmitters (E1, E2); the data transmitted by the transmitters being encoded in such a way that a high resolution in the angular measurement at the receiver is possible. An apparatus employing said method for the remote control of a vehicle includes a plurality of processing units and interfaces mounted on the vehicle and suitable to perform the driving and control functions thereof as well as a transceiver communication system connected to said driving and control system and made up of a pair of transceivers coupled to a modem, one of the latter making part of an operator-controlled ground station.

**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	GB	United Kingdom	MR	Mauritania
AU	Australia	GE	Georgia	MW	Malawi
BB	Barbados	GN	Guinea	NE	Niger
BE	Belgium	GR	Greece	NL	Netherlands
BF	Burkina Faso	HU	Hungary	NO	Norway
BG	Bulgaria	IE	Ireland	NZ	New Zealand
BJ	Benin	IT	Italy	PL	Poland
BR	Brazil	JP	Japan	PT	Portugal
BY	Belarus	KE	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania
CA	Canada	KG	Kyrgyzstan	RU	Russian Federation
CF	Central African Republic	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CG	Congo	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CH	Switzerland	KZ	Kazakhstan	SI	Slovenia
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	L1	Liechtenstein	SK	Slovakia
CM	Cameroon	LK	Sri Lanka	SN	Senegal
CN	China	LU	Luxembourg	TD	Chad
CS	Czechoslovakia	LV	Latvia	TG	Togo
CZ	Czech Republic	MC	Monaco	TJ	Tajikistan
DE	Germany	MD	Republic of Moldova	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
DK	Denmark	MG	Madagascar	UA	Ukraine
ES	Spain	ML	Mali	US	United States of America
FI	Finland	MN	Mongolia	UZ	Uzbekistan
FR	France			VN	Viet Nam
GA	Gabon				

"METHOD FOR DETERMINING THE PRECISE POSITION OF A  
MOBILE VEHICLE MOVING IN AN OPEN SPACE AND APPARATUS  
EMPLOYING SAID METHOD FOR THE VEHICLE REMOTE CONTROL"

This invention concerns methods and apparatuses for  
5 determining the position of a mobile vehicle and for  
the vehicle remote control, in particular a method  
suitable to be applied in open spaces free from  
obstacles and capable of a very high precision over  
distances up to thousands of meters.

10 Is it known that plenty of methods and relevant  
apparatuses have been devised for determining the  
precise position of a mobile vehicle so that it can be  
remotely controlled in order to avoid the use of human  
drivers for cost and/or safety reasons. The common  
15 basic feature of most of these methods is the use of  
one or more transmitters of directive electromagnetic  
waves which are detected by one or more receivers. The  
emission angle can be contained as an information in  
the transmission itself or it can be obtained from the  
20 time/angular velocity relationship of the rotating  
transmitter. The angular data thus acquired are then  
electronically processed to obtain the vehicle position  
through quick plane trigonometry calculations, i.e. a  
triangulation.

The drawback of the known methods stems from the insufficient precision in determining the exact angle of emission of the electromagnetic waves, which in turn leads to an insufficient precision in obtaining the 5 vehicle position. In fact, the precision achieved is not sufficient for a safe and precise remote control of vehicles over distances ranging from tens to thousands of meters. In particular, the highest precision is obtained by transmitting two or more directional beams 10 to a receiver positioned on the vehicle, each beam containing the continuously changing information of its direction of emission.

Obviously, the precision of the position calculated from these angular data is directly proportional to the 15 "resolution" thereof, i.e. to the frequency with which the information on the direction of emission is upgraded. For example, if the angular resolution ( $\epsilon$ ) is as rough as  $1^\circ$  the resulting calculated position can not be acceptably precise. On the other hand, employing 20 a very fine resolution (e.g.  $\epsilon=0.001^\circ$ ) requires so many data to be transmitted that the resulting transmission would imply such a high frequency as to require very sophisticated, delicate and expensive transmitters. Moreover, this type of signal is more easily subject to 25 interference problems.

Therefore, the object of the present invention is to provide a method and apparatus capable of overcoming the above-mentioned drawbacks.

This object is achieved by means of a method 5 including the operative steps cited in claim 1 and of an apparatus having the characteristics cited in claim 2.

The apparent advantage of the present method and apparatus is that a very high precision is achieved, 10 amply sufficient for the vehicle remote control over considerable distances, yet without posing excessive requirements on the transmission and processing systems of the apparatus. This results in a cheaper, lighter and more reliable apparatus which can be easily made 15 portable since it does not require an extreme care in the handling thereof.

These and other advantages and characteristics of the method and apparatus according to the present invention will be apparent to those skilled in the art 20 from the following detailed description of a preferred embodiment thereof, intended as a non-limiting example, referring to the annexed drawing (fig.1) schematically showing the parameters utilized in the position calculation.

Referring to said drawing, there is seen that the method according to the present invention includes the emission of two directive electromagnetic beams by two rotating transmitters E1 and E2, said beams being 5 periodically detected by a 360° detector positioned on the mobile vehicle. The rotations of E1 and E2 (e.g. clockwise) are at a constant speed and correlated so as to avoid the receiver from being "illuminated" simultaneously by both beams.

10 The two transmitters E1, E2 and the receiver are the vertices A, B and C, respectively, of a triangle whose inner angles are  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ , respectively, and the sides opposite to said angles are a, b and c, respectively. The beam transmitted by E1 carries a 15 continuously changing code indicating the value of the  $\alpha$  angle, and similarly the beam transmitted by E2 carries the value of the  $\beta$  angle.

Actually, the information transmitted are not the values of the  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  angles shown in fig.1, but the 20 angle of emission with respect to an arbitrary reference direction from which the  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  angles are easily calculated. Considered that the vehicle operates, for example, in the area defined by vertex C being included between vertices A and B and above them 25 ( $\alpha$  and  $\beta$   $< 90^\circ$ ), taking the Y-axis, for example, as 0°

- 5 -

the value transmitted by E1 is  $90^\circ - \alpha$  and the value transmitted by E2 is  $270^\circ + \beta$ . Therefore, it is clear that the information transmitted need not contain also the "name" of the source since the receiver knows that 5 values ranging from  $0^\circ$  to  $90^\circ$  come from E1 and values ranging from  $270^\circ$  to  $360^\circ$  come from E2, according to the example above.

The fixed distance between the transmitters, i.e. the length of side c, is previously precisely measured 10 in any suitable way, and it will be referred to hereinafter as the "base". Since the base and the two angles  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  adjacent thereto are known, all the other elements of the triangle are easily obtained, as well as the receiver Cartesian coordinates  $x_c$ ,  $y_c$  in a 15 frame of reference wherein A is in the origin and B on the X-axis.

Clearly, the base can be positioned in any way with respect to the operation area, even diagonally or in the middle thereof, and a same pair of transmitters can 20 be used for the positioning of various vehicles operating in the different quadrants with respect to the base taken into consideration.

The value code is transmitted by modulating the carrier wave of the electromagnetic transmission which 25 is preferably a beam of laser light, but could also

consist in microwaves or other types of waves, provided that they are sufficiently directive.

The coding of the angle value is performed through a binary  $n$ -bits code by generating a sequence of  $2^n$  binary configurations of  $n$  bits. However, the use of  $2^n$  independent codes each one including  $n$  bits (plus  $n'$  error check bits, etc.) implies the transmission of  $(n+n')*2^n/t$  bits per second, where  $t$  is the period of rotation of the transmitter which is usually not greater than 1 second and preferably equal to 0.5 seconds or less. For example, taking  $t=0.5$ ,  $n=18$  (corresponding to an angular resolution of about  $0.0014^\circ$ ) and  $n'=4$ , the resulting modulating frequency exceeds 11.5 MHz.

In order to reduce the modulating frequency without affecting the angular resolution, the Applicant devised the method according to the present invention in which the sequence of the  $2^n$  binary configurations of  $n$  bits is made up of codes successively "overlapping" for  $n-1$  bits. This means that the  $n$  bits of each of the  $2^n$  configurations in the sequence are the  $n-1$  last bits of the preceding configuration plus 1 more bit. In practice, the coding sequence instead of consisting in  $n*2^n$  bits read as  $2^n$  codes of  $n$  bits each, is made up of  $2^n+(n-1)$  bits only which are still read as  $2^n$  codes

of  $n$  bits but each code overlaps the following one for the first  $n-1$  bits of the latter. In this way, the reading is performed by shifting along the sequence by 1 bit at a time instead of  $n$  bits at a time. Moreover,

5 no error check bits are needed because the additional bit transmitted (just 1 or 0) is used, in practice, as a "clock signal" to scan the coding sequence. In case of interferences causing errors in the angular value received, the receiver automatically discards the

10 values not compatible with the previously calculated position and the vehicle speed. Therefore, the frequency modulation required is  $1/(n+n')$  of that required with independent configurations, i.e. just 0.5 MHz instead of 11.5 MHz, referring to the above-cited

15 example. Since the cost and the delicacy of the laser modulators are proportional to the frequency they reach, it is clear that such a method allows a considerable saving and a significant increase in reliability. The following example will make the

20 present method still clearer.

Example 1

The  $360^\circ$  of the rotation are divided into  $2^3=8$  parts only ( $n=3$ ) so that the angular resolution is equal to  $360^\circ/8=45^\circ$ . The corresponding coding sequence

- 8 -

is 0001011100 (8+3-1=10 bits) and it is read as follows:

	reading	code read	binary value	coded value
	1st	000	0	0°
5	2nd	001	1	45°
	3rd	010	2	90°
	4th	101	5	135°
	5th	011	3	180°
	6th	111	7	225°
10	7th	110	6	270°
	8th	100	4	315°

It is apparent from the above example that each transmitter E1, E2 must modulate just 1 bit at a time (after the 1st reading) since the receiver uses the 2 preceding bits in the sequence to obtain the complete 3-bits code containing the binary value corresponding to the coded value. Obviously, the transmitters and the receiver are provided with the same coding pattern, which is used by the modulator in the transmitters and 20 by the demodulator in the receiver.

In practice, a plurality of coding patterns may be provided corresponding to increasing values of n so as to use the most suitable angular resolution for each application of the present method. In fact, the 25 modulating capacities of the relevant apparatus may be

differently allocated according, for example, to the speed of the vehicle to be remotely controlled. While the control with a very high precision of slowly moving vehicles implies higher values of  $n$  and  $t$  (more precise but less frequent position calculation), the control of vehicles moving faster but not requiring great precision implies lower values of  $n$  and  $t$  (less precise but more frequent position calculation), yet maintaining in both instances the modulating frequency  $2^n/t$  within the limits of the apparatus.

Since the directive beam emitted by E1, E2 has a finite width in the horizontal plane (e.g. 1 minute), it will sweep the receiver detector for a finite time during which, in general, a plurality of coded angular values will be transmitted thereto. Obviously, the actual value utilized in the position calculation will be the mean value.

It is also possible to take into account the presence of a time lag between the two beams from E1 and E2 successively sweeping the detector, which lag makes the first angle value obtained slightly change while the receiver waits for the value of the second angle. This error is usually negligible since other factors (resolution, noise, oscillations of the vehicle, etc.) generate greater errors, but it can be

- 10 -

reduced or even substantially eliminated by either minimizing the time lag or by introducing a correction on the base of the known time lag.

The mathematical treatment, omitted for simplicity, of error  $E$  calculated as a percentage on the real coordinates shows that the maximum error at a fixed distance from the base occurs for  $\alpha=\beta$  (i.e. on the centre line of the base) and said maximum error is smallest for  $\alpha=\beta=45^\circ$  and rises more slowly moving nearer to the base than moving farther therefrom. It is obvious that the error absolute value is directly proportional to the distance, and that both the absolute and per cent error are directly proportional to  $\varepsilon$ . The following table shows the per cent values of the maximum error  $E$  on  $X$  or  $Y$ , whichever is greatest, occurring in the most unfavourable condition ( $\alpha=\beta$ ) for four increasing values of  $\varepsilon$  (respectively corresponding to 1, 5, 10 and 60 seconds).

Table 1

$\alpha=\beta$	$\epsilon=0.00028^\circ$	$\epsilon=0.00139^\circ$	$\epsilon=0.00278^\circ$	$\epsilon=0.01666^\circ$
1°	0.028	0.139	0.278	1.653
2°	0.014	0.069	0.139	0.827
5	4°	0.007	0.035	0.069
	6°	0.004	0.024	0.047
	8°	0.003	0.017	0.035
	10°	0.003	0.014	0.028
	15°	0.002	0.010	0.020
10	25°	0.001	0.006	0.013
	35°	0.001	0.005	0.010
	45°	0.001	0.005	0.010
	55°	0.002	0.007	0.015
	65°	0.003	0.014	0.027
15	75°	0.007	0.036	0.072
	80°	0.016	0.080	0.160
				0.965

The comparison between the four columns shows that a substantially linear relationship exists between  $\epsilon$  and  $E$ . In particular, the second and third column 20 corresponding to  $n=18$  and  $n=17$ , respectively, show that a 1-bit reduction of the angular resolution causes a nearly exact doubling of the error. The following examples illustrate two possible applications of the present method.

- 12 -

Example 2

A tyred lifting truck moving pallets over an asphalted area of 100 x 100 meters requires a  $\pm 5$  cm precision. Since the ground vibrational disturbances 5 are very small, a fixed installation of the two transmitters allows for a high mechanical precision.

At the maximum distance of 100 m from the base along the center line thereof the angle is  $\alpha=\beta=63.4^\circ$  and corresponds to  $E=0.025\%$  for  $\varepsilon=0.00278^\circ$ , i.e. an 10 absolute error of  $\pm 2.5$  cm on the  $Y_C$  coordinate (100 m) and  $\pm 1.25$  cm on the  $X_C$  coordinate (50 m). When the truck moves closer to the base along the center line, E decreases at first until  $\alpha=\beta=45^\circ$  and then slowly rises.

The smallest angle at which the  $\pm 5$  cm precision is 15 still achievable is  $\alpha=\beta=3.1^\circ$  when  $E=0.1\%$  corresponds to  $\pm 5$  cm on the  $X_C$  coordinate (50 m), while the  $Y_C$  coordinate is now equal to  $50 \cdot \tan(3.1^\circ) = 2.71$  m and therefore the corresponding absolute error is  $\pm 0.27$  cm. This means that the required precision can not be 20 obtained at a distance shorter than 2.71 m from the base (for  $\varepsilon=0.00278^\circ$ ).

This problem can be solved either by increasing the resolution (lower  $\varepsilon$ ) or simply by moving back the base (i.e. the transmitters E1, E2) 2.71 m from the lower 25 edge of the operation area, so that the  $Y_C$  coordinate

- 13 -

range is 2.71-102.71 m (instead of 0-100 m) and  $\alpha=\beta$  never decreases below 3.1°. These two solutions may also be combined in order to reduce the required distance of the base from the operation area edge. For 5 example, by reducing  $\varepsilon$  to 0.00139° the 0.1% limit is reached at  $\alpha=\beta=1.6^\circ$  corresponding to a minimum distance of 1.40 m from the base.

Example 3

A farm tractor moving over a field of 1500 x 1200 10 meters, the base being on the shortest side, requires a  $\pm 50$  cm precision. Since the ground vibrational disturbances are not small, a portable installation of the two transmitters is preferable.

With the same resolution of example 2 at the 15 maximum distance of 1500 m from the base along the center line thereof the absolute error is now  $\pm 37.5$  cm on the  $Y_C$  coordinate (1500 m) and  $\pm 15$  cm on the  $X_C$  coordinate (600 m). The smallest angle at which the  $\pm 50$  cm precision is still achievable is  $\alpha=\beta=3.6^\circ$  when 20  $E=0.083\%$  corresponds to  $\pm 50$  cm on the  $X_C$  coordinate (600 m), while the  $Y_C$  coordinate (i.e. the minimum distance from the base) is now equal to 37.75 m and therefore the corresponding absolute error is  $\pm 3.13$  cm. By reducing  $\varepsilon$  to 0.00139° the 0.083% limit is reached

at  $\alpha=\beta=1.8^\circ$  corresponding to a minimum distance of 18.86 m from the base.

It should be noted that a portable installation obviously assures a precision not as high as a fixed 5 installation, while the distance of the base from the operation area edge is usually not a problem in the fields. Therefore, the higher resolution used in this example is intended to illustrate the capacities of the present method but is quite theoretical. A more 10 realistic application to a farm tractor would imply the use of  $\varepsilon=0.01666^\circ$ , which would not be sufficient to reach the required  $\pm 50$  cm precision. A simple solution to this problem is to place a third transmitter along 15 the longer side of the field in order to have two bases. The distance of the vehicle will thus be considered with respect to the nearer base, so as to remain within the limits of the required precision.

The apparatus employing the above-illustrated method for the remote control of the vehicle will now 20 be schematically described. It is substantially made up of three systems:

- vehicle tracking system (VTS)
- driving and control system (DCS)
- transceiver communication system (TCS)

- 15 -

The VTS is the portion of the apparatus actually embodying the method according to the present invention. As mentioned above, it includes a pair of transmitters E1, E2 emitting directive electromagnetic waves, preferably laser beams. The carrier wave is modulated by a modulator in order to contain a binary code produced by a coder as explained before. The transmitters are usually mounted on stands or the like at 2-3 m above the ground, and they rotate at a constant speed in a plane parallel to the surface on which the mobile vehicle operates.

In order to double the frequency of the position calculation without doubling the transmission frequency required, the laser modulator included in the transmitters may be of the double-exit type, i.e. the laser beam is simultaneously emitted in two opposite directions, for example the  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha+180^\circ$  directions, by means of a mirror device. Since the transmitted value is  $\alpha$  in both directions, the beam emitted along  $\alpha+180^\circ$  will be slightly modified so that the receiver can distinguish it and add  $180^\circ$  to its value for the correct calculation.

The receiver is obviously mounted on top of the vehicle and includes a  $360^\circ$  detector so as to detect the transmissions from E1 and E2 regardless of the

orientation of the vehicle. In order to do so, the detector may also be made up of a plurality of detectors (e.g. 4 detectors covering 90° each) electrically coupled to form a 360° detector. The 5 receiver also includes a demodulator to demodulate the transmissions, a decoder to obtain the coded values from the binary values, and a processing unit to perform the triangulation.

The position calculated by the VTS is transmitted 10 to the DCS which includes a plurality of processing units and interfaces for performing the following functions:

- direction of motion control (steering wheel)
- speed and gear control (accelerator, gears)
- 15 - engine power and rpm check
- accelerations and main axes attitude check
- power take-offs control/check
- hydraulic circuits take-offs control/check
- rear/central/front lifters control/check
- 20 Each function is specifically controlled via software according to the operations to be performed. The operating software contains the intervention priorities for allocating the processing capacities of the DCS, so that a proper quick stopping and/or 25 correcting action can be taken upon occurrence of any

specific event. Typical examples of events requiring a quick intervention are another vehicle or a person crossing the path a short distance ahead of the vehicle, or a fixed underground obstacle (e.g. a root) 5 blocking the vehicle. The DCS must therefore operate in real-time mode with "interrupt" features, and includes a plurality of sensors for gathering the data on pressure, temperature, speed, etc. required to perform the checks and controls, as well as TV cameras, image 10 processors, infrared or proximity sensors and the like to recognize objects, edge posts, etc.

The route to be followed by the vehicle is defined prior to the beginning of the work by putting together the perimeter of the operation area. This can be done 15 either through conventional measuring by means of other separate instruments, or by having the vehicle, driven by an operator, travel along the perimeter with the VTS in "acquisition" mode. Once the perimeter has been acquired, the DCS calculates the trajectories according 20 to the programmed operations to be performed.

The DCS is connected through a serial line to the TCS, which is capable of transmitting and receiving messages to and from an operator. This link between the DCS and the TCS allows the former to transmit an alarm 25 signal in case it is unable to cope with a particular

situation. By checking the DCS status, the operator can understand the nature of the problem and transmit the proper controls to resume the vehicle operations, or at least he can intervene to stop the vehicle if something 5 goes wrong in the DCS.

The TCS also allows the recording of all the data concerning the operations performed and the anomalies occurred. It is substantially made up of a pair of transceivers coupled to a modem, so as to transmit and 10 receive digitalized messages, one of said transceivers making part of an operator-controlled ground station. The use of frequencies in the VHF, UHF and SHF bands allows for a range of some kilometers even with little power.

## CLAIMS

1. A method for determining the position of a mobile vehicle provided with a receiver through a triangulation performed by said receiver on the base of the angular data obtained by processing the modulated directive electromagnetic signals emitted by at least two fixed rotating transmitters (E1, E2), characterized in that it includes the following operative steps:
  - dividing the 360° of the rotation into  $2^n$  angular values,  $n$  being an integer;
  - generating a coding sequence of  $2^n$  binary configurations of  $n$  bits successively overlapping for  $n-1$  bits, whereby the  $n$  bits of each of the  $2^n$  configurations in the sequence are the  $n-1$  last bits of the preceding configuration plus one more bit, the coding sequence being made up of  $2^n+(n-1)$  bits;
  - generating a coding pattern wherein said angular values are coded by successively assigning to each of them one of the  $2^n$  binary values corresponding to the successive  $2^n$  configurations of  $n$  bits making up said coding sequence;
  - storing said coding pattern in the transmitters (E1, E2) and in the receiver;

- 20 -

- starting the rotations of the two transmitters (E1, E2) at a constant speed and correlated so as to avoid the receiver from being swept simultaneously by both directive transmissions emitted by the two  
5 transmitters;
  - transmitting the angular data corresponding to the direction of emission of the beams by reading the coding sequence 1 bit at a time after the first n bits, the carrier wave signal being modulated according to  
10 said reading;
  - demodulating the signals detected by the receiver and obtaining the coded angular values by adding the latest bit received from each transmitter (E1, E2) to the n-1 last bits previously received from said  
15 transmitter; and
  - calculating the vehicle position through a triangulation by using the latest mean angular values obtained from said signals.
2. An apparatus employing the method according to  
20 claim 1 for the remote control of a vehicle, including at least two fixed rotating transmitters (E1, E2) emitting modulated directive electromagnetic signals and a receiver mounted on the vehicle and provided with a 360° signal detector, a demodulator and a processing  
25 unit, characterized in that said apparatus further

includes storage means making part of the transmitters (E1, E2) and of the receiver and suitable to retain the coding patterns, a plurality of processing units and interfaces mounted on the vehicle and suitable to 5 perform the driving and control functions thereof as well as a transceiver communication system connected to said driving and control system and made up of a pair of transceivers coupled to a modem, one of said transceivers making part of an operator-controlled 10 ground station.

3. An apparatus according to claim 2, characterized in that laser beams are utilized as directive electromagnetic signals, and in that the laser modulators included in the transmitters (E1, E2) 15 are of the double-exit type, whereby the laser beam is simultaneously emitted in two opposite directions, the beam emitted in one direction being slightly modified so that the receiver can distinguish it from the other beam.

1/1




Fig. 1

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER  
 IPC 5 G01S5/16 G05D1/03

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC:

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)  
 IPC 5 G01S G05D

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	DE,A,31 07 674 (M.A.N. MASCHINENFABRIK) 16 September 1982 see page 6, line 11 - page 7, line 24 see page 9, line 1 - line 33; figure 1 ----	1
A	DE,A,35 26 564 (FRIED. KRUPP GMBH) 5 February 1987 see column 3, line 35 - column 5, line 45; figures 1-3 ----	1
A	GB,A,2 152 320 (SIDDALL) 31 July 1985 see abstract; figure 2 -----	1

Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

Patent family members are listed in annex.

\* Special categories of cited documents :

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "I" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "J" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- "&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international search report
14 September 1994	04.10.94
Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.O. 5818 Patentzaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+ 31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 cpo nl, Fax (+ 31-70) 340-3016	Authorized officer  Haffner, R

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
DE-A-3107674	16-09-82	NONE	
DE-A-3526564	05-02-87	NONE	
GB-A-2152320	31-07-85	NONE	